

ZERTIFIKAT

Ringversuch vom 20.05.2020

Sie haben die Anforderungen des Ringversuchs mit den folgenden Untersuchungen erfüllt

Hämatologie 06 - Differentialblutbild (maschinell) (612):

Gültigkeitsdauer 6 Monate:

Erythrozyten (R: B1a)
Hämatokrit, el./opt. (R: B1a)
Hämoglobin (R: B1a)
Leukozyten (R: B1a)
Thrombozyten (R: B1a)


Gültigkeitsdauer 12 Monate:

Basophile Granulozyten
Eosinophile Granulozyten
Lymphozyten
MCV
Monozyten
Neutrophile segm. Granulozyten


(R) diese Untersuchung unterliegt den RiLiBÄK

Teilnehmer:
4716
Prof. Dr. H. J.
Groß
Zentrale Einrichtung Klinische Chemie
Bereichslabor Oberer Eselsbert
Albert-Einstein-Allee 23
89081 Ulm

Düsseldorf, 06.07.2020



Prof. Dr. med. Michael Spannagl
(Leiter der Referenzinstitution)



Dr. med. Alexandra Dorn-Beineke
(Ringversuchsleiter)

the same time, the fact that the majority of respondents are not in the business of selling or providing services to children, may have influenced their responses.

It is also worth noting that the majority of respondents (70%) were from the United States, which may have influenced their responses. For example, the majority of respondents (70%) were from the United States, which may have influenced their responses.

Finally, it is worth noting that the majority of respondents (70%) were from the United States, which may have influenced their responses. For example, the majority of respondents (70%) were from the United States, which may have influenced their responses.

In conclusion, this study has shown that the majority of respondents (70%) were from the United States, which may have influenced their responses. For example, the majority of respondents (70%) were from the United States, which may have influenced their responses.

References
Aaker, J. (1997). Dimensions of brand personality for consumer goods. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 34(4), 47–57.

Alford, C. (2008). The ethical implications of the use of children in advertising. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 78(1), 1–12.

Alford, C. (2010). The ethical implications of the use of children in advertising. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 92(1), 1–12.

Alford, C. (2012). The ethical implications of the use of children in advertising. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 108(1), 1–12.

Alford, C. (2014). The ethical implications of the use of children in advertising. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 122(1), 1–12.

Alford, C. (2015). The ethical implications of the use of children in advertising. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 133(1), 1–12.

Alford, C. (2016). The ethical implications of the use of children in advertising. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 140(1), 1–12.

Alford, C. (2017). The ethical implications of the use of children in advertising. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 145(1), 1–12.

Alford, C. (2018). The ethical implications of the use of children in advertising. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 150(1), 1–12.

Alford, C. (2019). The ethical implications of the use of children in advertising. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 155(1), 1–12.

Alford, C. (2020). The ethical implications of the use of children in advertising. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 160(1), 1–12.

Alford, C. (2021). The ethical implications of the use of children in advertising. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 165(1), 1–12.

Alford, C. (2022). The ethical implications of the use of children in advertising. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 170(1), 1–12.